

REFORMING THE POWER SECTOR IN MALAWI Improved investment environment but utility challenges remain

Program Overview

MCC's \$351 million Malawi Compact (2013–2018) addressed financial, operational, and governance challenges among power sector institutions through the \$27.5 million Power Sector Reform Project (PSRP). The project provided technical assistance and a management information system to support the theory that fostering an operationally and financially sustainable energy sector would lower the cost of doing business in Malawi, expand access to electricity for Malawian people and businesses, and increase value-added production in Malawi.

MCC commissioned Social Impact to conduct an independent final performance evaluation of the Malawi PSRP. Full report results and learning: <u>https://data.mcc.gov/evaluations/index.php/catalog/110</u>.

Key Findings

🔊 Tariff Reform

 Malawi's electricity tariff is higher than it would have been without the compact, allowing for greater investment and sustainability in the sector.

Enabling Environment for Private Investment

 Stakeholders agree that Malawi now has a better environment for private sector investment in electricity generation, thereby achieving the key objective of the project.

Otility Financial Performance

 The PSRP had an early effect on utility finances and achieved several key milestones, such as a detailed financial model and an updated accounting manual. However, the early gains of the PSRP have gradually eroded.

Utility Operations and Corporate Governance

 Without a reform-oriented partner in the electrical utility, the PSRP had minimal influence on utility operations and corporate governance.

Policy implications

 The Malawi experience highlights the importance of anticipating political constraints, using technical assistance to support reform implementation, and employing adaptive management strategies to implement and institutionalize reform.

Evaluation Questions

The PSRP performance evaluation was designed to assess the financial, operational, and governance changes within the power sector over time. This final evaluation addressed a series of questions about the achievement of these targeted outcomes, including:

- **1.** What were the results of the interventions on targeted outcomes intended and un-intended, positive or negative?
- **2.** What are the lessons learned and policy implications for similar projects?

Detailed Findings

These findings build upon *Interim Report 1* published in 2018 and *Interim Report 2* published in 2019.

🔊 Tariff Reform

Although Malawi does not yet have a cost-reflective tariff, the tariff is higher than it would have been without the compact. MCC and MCA-Malawi (the implementing entity) influence, technical assistance, capacity-building support, and incentives created by a potential second compact improved the 2018 tariff review process and yielded a tariff that was higher than expected, particularly for an election year.

A FULL-COST RECOVERY TARIFF means that the utility is able to sell electricity at a high enough price to cover reasonable expenses such as:



Enabling Environment for Private Investment

The PSRP helped Malawi achieve an environment conducive to private sector investment in electricity generation, thereby achieving a core objective of the overall project. Compact activities yielded substantial regulatory and capacity improvements, including a new market structure, the adoption of a framework for independent power producers (IPPs), a grid code, a tariff methodology, and standardized term sheets and power purchase agreements (PPAs). Although no IPPs were operating yet as of the final evaluation, Malawi had signed nine PPAs amounting to a potential 290 MW of new generation capacity, or 82 percent of the installed capacity at baseline.

Otility Financial Performance

The Electrical Supply Corporation of Malawi's (ESCOM's) financial situation initially improved dramatically as a result of compact preconditions. PSRP technical assistance built on this firmer financial footing. However, by compact closeout, the financial situation had deteriorated, partly as a result of drought conditions, and the benefits of technical assistance were not evident. For example, a new detailed financial model had been deleted, an improved accounting manual required updating, and the new technology platform was not producing reliable figures.



Workers repair electrical lines in Lilongwe, Malawi.

Utility Operations and Corporate Governance

Despite some potential improvements identified at midline in 2017, the PSRP had only minimal influence on ESCOM operations and corporate governance. In operations, although the PSRP fostered several improvements in ESCOM procurement, these improvements did not reduce allegations of corruption or shortfalls in supplies of key items. In corporate governance, ESCOM's board formally adopted a PSRP-promoted board charter, but the charter's key provisions have largely been ignored.

Policy Implications

Given the challenges presented by ESCOM and ESCOM's governance, future compacts may want to identify key governance reforms during development, and include them as conditions to entry into

force. The Malawi experience also highlights the importance of using technical assistance strategically to support reform implementation; regularly adapting technical assistance strategies; and developing institutional structures to coordinate, manage, and be accountable for reform.

MCC Learning

- Attune reform strategies appropriately to the country context and the sector's level of development and ensure that solutions respond to the most essential challenges.
- Efforts to upgrade utility information systems should be started early in the compact to account for the time required for successful rollout, adoption, and continued system support.
- Dedicate sufficient resources and time with appropriate methodologies in recognition of the challenges of largescale institutional reform.



Construction workers building the compact supported Nkhoma substation outside of Lilongwe, Malawi.

Evaluation Methods

The performance evaluation is a pre-post, observational study that uses diverse data sources to track changes over time. To answer the evaluation questions, the evaluation questions, the evaluation team triangulated among data sources to both assess changes and weigh the PSRP's role in influencing change. Evaluation data are derived from administrative data, project documentation, key informant interviews, process mapping, and quantitative indicators.

PSRP technical assistance produced a wealth of information in the form of various reports and deliverables. A review of these documents provided



initial answers to many of the evaluation questions. Using a process mapping methodology, the evaluation team explored a set of distinct processes the compact was expected to improve, such as responding to power outages and procuring goods and services.

As part of its monitoring and evaluation plan, MCA-Malawi tracked a series of indicators, including financial indicators (e.g., cost recovery ratios, debt-equity ratio, and the current ratio), billing-related indicators (e.g., the bad debt ratio and average collection period in days), and operational indicators (e.g., the maintenance expenditure ratio). The evaluation team used these and other administrative data provided by sector stakeholders. The evaluation team also conducted key informant and group interviews with diverse PSRP stakeholders, including ESCOM personnel and management, MCC and MCA-Malawi personnel, PSRP consultants, regulator and ministry staff, potential investors, and members of civil society. In total, 127 individuals participated in endline data collection activities. Seventy-nine of these individuals (64 percent) also completed a short survey designed to assess respondents' perceptions of change across the six sub-activities of the PSRP.